UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,867	06/05/2006	Michael Horstmann	RO4244US (#90568)	3928
	7590 03/16/201 CHBERG CO. L.P.A.	EXAMINER		
1940 EAST 6TI		BLIZZARD, CHRISTOPHER JAMES		
CLEVELAND,	OΠ <del>44</del> 114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/581,867	HORSTMANN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHRISTOPHER BLIZZARD	3771		

-			
	CHRISTOPHER BLIZZARD	3771	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 C</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	*		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	ducing <b>or s</b> implifying t	he issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cied ciairris.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,	•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected to Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-30</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
/Justine R Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3771	/CHRISTOPHER BLIZZ Examiner, Art Unit 3771	ZARD/	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new limitation added to sole independent claim 1 raises a new issue that will require further consideration and thus the amendment will not be entered. Further, applicant's arguments concerning Honeycutt not disclosing a nicotine base-containing preparation or acid-containing prepartion comprising a polymer matrix wherein the basic active agent or the acids are contained in a dissolved or dispersed form is not persuasive. As noted by applicant in the first two lines of page 10 of "Remarks" regarding Honeycutt "the nicotine free base or acid is absorbed to the surface of the materials". Since the material of Honeycutt is a polymer it inherently has a polymer matrix, because of this any nicotine free base or acid absorbed into the surface of the material would be considered to be contained in the polymer matrix in a dispersed form.